

Future of Fremantle - WestCycle submission

15 January 2024

<https://consultation.dplh.wa.gov.au/communications/future-of-fremantle-emerging-vision-and-scenarios/consultation/>

5. Do you support the Emerging Vision?

(Required)

- ☒ Strongly support
- ☐ Somewhat support
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Somewhat oppose
- ☐ Strongly oppose

6. To what extent do you support the Vision Goals?

(Required)	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neutral	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose
Grow a competitive economy of the future	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Reflect Fremantle's character	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walkable and connected	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Diverse and healthy	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Celebrates Aboriginal culture	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Reconnect to land and water	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

- Supportive of the active transport priority, essential for equity and decarbonisation.

- Details of street design important for ensuring success and must be fundamental in design process from early on. Walkable neighbourhoods with narrow streets and minimal setbacks in the older areas of cities around the world should be replicated.
- Alignment with current infrastructure projects including the Fremantle Traffic Bridge, and stages 4 and 5 of the Fremantle Principal Shared Path essential.

8. How well does Scenario 1 - Harbour City align with the Emerging Vision and Goals?

8. How well does Scenario 1 - Harbour City align with the Emerging Vision and Goals?

(Required)

- ☐ Strongly aligned
- ☐ Somewhat aligned
- ☐ Neutral
- ☒ Somewhat not aligned
- ☐ Not aligned at all

9. To what extent do you support the key ideas proposed for Scenario 1 – Harbour City under the main themes of: Place Economy; Mobility; Green and Blue Futures; and Urban Planning?

(Required)	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neutral	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose
Place Economy	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
Mobility	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
Green and Blue Futures	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
Urban Planning	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐

- Fairly business as usual - limited economic and housing diversity.
- AT connectivity is poor - especially river crossings and integration with PT (buses only).
- Curtin Ave flyover - will destroy or severely reduce amenity for existing residents, & sustains an outdated transport model.
- Canning Hwy Connector not possible with current Bridge model - nor favourable.
- PSP not marked; how site connects to surrounds by AT unclear.
- Northern connections under the bridge not supported by current DA

Scenario 2 - Coastal City

11. How well does Scenario 2 - Coastal City align with the Emerging Vision and Goals?

(Required)

- ☐ Strongly aligned
- ☒ Somewhat aligned
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Somewhat not aligned
- ☐ Not aligned at all

12. To what extent do you support the key ideas proposed for Scenario 2 – Coastal City under the main themes of: Place Economy; Mobility; Green and Blue Futures; and Urban Planning?

(Required)	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neutral	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose
Place Economy	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
Mobility	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
Green and Blue Futures	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐
Urban Planning	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

- Station relocation to Jackson Street - concerns re impacts on existing residents and public park - is there sufficient space in rail reserve and to the west?
- Curtin Ave flyover - will destroy or severely reduce amenity for existing residents, & sustains an outdated transport model.
- Additional bridge supports active transport connectivity
- AT connection under northern end of Traffic Bridge not in current DA.
- Concerns about PSP stage 4 currently in design and future impacts
- Concerns re headlands and coastal amenity

Scenario 3 – Competitive City

14. How well does Scenario 3 - Competitive City align with the Emerging Vision and Goals?

(Required)

- ☒ Strongly aligned
- ☐ Somewhat aligned
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Somewhat not aligned
- ☐ Not aligned at all

15. To what extent do you support the key ideas proposed for Scenario 3 – Competitive City under the main themes of: Place Economy; Mobility; Green and Blue Futures; and Urban Planning?

(Required)	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neutral	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose
Place Economy	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Mobility	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Green and Blue Futures	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
Urban Planning	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

- Best scenario for AT connectivity and integration with PT
- Connectivity enhanced by elevation of the rail line and two additional bridges
- Access under northern end traffic bridge not supported by current DA
- Curtin Ave connection to Stirling Hwy - will destroy or severely reduce amenity for existing residents, & sustains an outdated transport model
- Station moving to Tydeman preferred over Jackson if less impacts on existing residents
- Concerns re coastal structures though less than the other scenarios

17. When thinking about the long term vision for the Future of Fremantle, how important are the following statements to you?

(Required)	Very important	Important	Neutral	Not very important	Not important at all
There is a strong recognition and celebration of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage throughout the area	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Local character reflects Fremantle's existing identity and values	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Heritage buildings and other structures are repurposed for a range of uses	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
There is a diverse range of industries and jobs	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
There is a diversity of housing types including student accommodation, social housing and key worker housing	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

There is a range of different social and recreational opportunities with wide-ranging appeal	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Public transport and active transport (cycleways, pedestrian paths) offer a reliable and convenient alternative to private vehicle use	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
There are good connections between North Fremantle, Fremantle and the project site	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Connections and relationships to the river and ocean are strengthened	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
It is easy to move from one side of the harbour to the other	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
People can interact with and experience the harbour, ocean and waterfront in a variety of ways	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

The coastline at Port Beach and Sandtrax provide a range of accessible recreational opportunities for all beach users



People have easy access to a range of open spaces such as natural areas and sporting grounds



There are affordable spaces for creative industries, small businesses and start-ups



There is provision for Aboriginal enterprise such as training and support for Aboriginal owned businesses



High quality urban design is encouraged to bring strong visual appeal and functional buildings and spaces



Erosion along the coastline is managed to protect Sandtrax, Port Beach and Leighton Beach

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

18. On a scale of 1 to 10, how supportive are you of the ideas and possibilities presented in Scenario 1 – Harbour City? (1 = not supportive, 10= very supportive).

(Required)

5 ▾

19. On a scale of 1 to 10, how supportive are you of the ideas and possibilities presented in Scenario 2 – Coastal City? (1 = not supportive, 10= very supportive).

(Required)

6 ▾

20. On a scale of 1 to 10, how supportive are you of the ideas and possibilities presented in Scenario 3 – Competitive City? (1 = not supportive, 10= very supportive).

(Required)

8 ▾

Additional comments

21. Please provide any additional comments

Given the location and timeline, how the development will address climate change impacts is not prioritised to the level it should be - noting that this was a concern raised in the community consultation process. An appropriate buffer should be implemented, and dunes established, to protect against increasingly severe and frequent weather events such as winter storms, particularly with rising sea levels. Infrastructure must be planned with extreme heat in mind, particularly if the goal is to have high levels of active transport use. Attention to detail in street layout and design will be essential.

There is a limit of 1000 characters